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26-Hydroxycholesterol (cholest-5-ene-3 $\beta, 26$-diol) has been repeatedly ${ }^{1-3}$ isolated from normal and atherosclerotic human aortic tissue. Although its melting point and behaviour in thin-layer (TLC) and gas-liquid chromatography (GLC) are in agreement with data reported for ( $25 R$ )-26-hydroxycholesterol derived from kryptogenin ${ }^{4}$, some doubt has persisted with respect to its configuration at C-25 and its stereochemical purity. This was chiefly because of the lack of pure reference (25S)-26-hydroxycholesterol and of a method for resolving the $\mathrm{C}-25$ epimers.

We have recently reported ${ }^{5}$ the resolution of a synthetic mixture of ( $25 R$ )and (25S)-26-hydroxycholesterol achieved at the stage of the 3,26-diacetate by highpressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) and leading to pure ( $25 S$ )-26-hydroxycholesterol. The separation was performed by recycling on silica of high surface area.

These results prompted us to investigate 26 -hydroxycholesterol from human aortic tissue by HPLC in order to assign unambiguously its $\mathrm{C}-25$ configuration and stereochemical purity. In addition, 26-hydroxycholesterol (of unknown configuration at $\mathbf{C - 2 5}$ ) isolated ${ }^{6}$ from air-aged cholesterol was similarly tested.

## EXPERIMENTAL

## Instrumental

HPLC was performed with a Waters Assoc. chromatograph equipped with a 6000A pump, a U6K injector, a refractometric detector and two columns (each $30 \mathrm{~cm} \times 4 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.) packed with $\mu$ Porasil. The mobile phase was $n$-hexane containing $2.5 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ of ethyl acetate at a flow-rate of $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ and a pressure of 560 p.s.i.

Melting points were measured in open capillary tube and are uncorrected.
TLC was carried out on layers ( $200 \times 200 \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) of Merck silica gel $\mathrm{GF}_{254}$, with ethyl acetate-n-heptane ( $1: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) as developing solvent. Spots were visualized by spraying with $5 \%$ (w/v) phosphomolybdic acid in ethanol and heating.

## Samples of 26-hydroxycholesterol

26-Hydroxycholesterol isolated from human aortas ${ }^{2}$ and from air-aged cholesterol ${ }^{6}$ were gifts from Dr J. E. van Lier, of the Département de Médecine Nucléaire ct de Radiobiologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire, Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada.

The 26-hydroxycholesterol derived from kryptogenin ${ }^{4}$ was a gift from Dr E. Caspi, of The Worcester Foundation for Experimental Biology, Shrewsbury, Mass., U.S.A., and was considered as being optically pure.

## Acetylation of 26-hydroxycholesterol

26-Hydroxycholesterol from human aorta or other source ( $1-2 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was acetylated (acetic anhydride and pyridine at room temperature), and the homogeneity of the resulting 3,26 -diacetate was checked by TLC ( $R_{F} 0.9$ ). It was then dissolved in $2.5 \%$ ethyl acetate solution in $n$-hexane (ca. 1 ml ), and the solution, filtered is necessary, was injected into the HPLC system.

## RESULTS

HPLC of 26-hydroxycholesterol 3,26-diacetate resulting from acetylation of 26-hydroxycholesterol from human aorta revealed (after seven recycles) the presence of two compounds (Fig. 1), which were collected. The less polar constituent ( $10 \%$ of the total acetylated material) was identical with the (25S)-26-hydroxycholesterol 3,26-diacetate described previously ${ }^{5}$. Identity was established by admixture with authentic ( $25 R$ )- or (25S)-26-hydroxycholesterol 3,26-diacetate and HPLC. Only with the former epimer was resolution achieved after several recycles.


Fig. 1. HPLC of 26-hydroxycholesterol 3,26-diacetate from human aorta; after seven recycles, resolution is achieved. The less polar peak represents the $25 S$-epimer and the more polar one the $25 R$-epimer. The compounds were detected by refractometry.

The more polar constituent ( $90 \%$ of the total acetylated material) was identical with authentic ( $25 R$ )-26-hydroxycholesterol 3,26 -diacetate ${ }^{4,5}$ according to melting point ( $128^{\circ}$ ) and mixed melting point. Further proof of identify was provided by admixture with either the $25 R$ - or the $25 S$-epimer and HPLC as described above.

26-Hydroxycholesterol 3,26-diacetate prepared from 26-hydroxycholesterol derived from kryptogenin ${ }^{4}$ was submitted to HPLC; the result was similar to that shown in Fig. 1, except the $25 S$-epimer represented only $3 \%$ of the total material.


Fig. 2. HPLC of 26-hydroxycholesterol 3,26-diacetate from air-aged cholesterol; separation is achieved after seven recycles. The less polar peak represents the $25 S$-epimer and the more polar one the $25 R$-epimer. Compounds were detected by refractometry.

HPLC of 26-hydroxycholesterol 3,26-diacetate originating from 26-hydroxycholesterol from air-aged cholesterol is shown in Fig. 2; after seven recycles, resolution of the $25 R$ - and $25 S$-epimers was achieved, the latter being slightly more abundant ( $60 \%$ ) than the former ( $40 \%$ ).

## DISCUSSION

We have previously found ${ }^{5}$ that HPLC in the recycle mode is highly efficient in resolving a $1: 1$ mixture of ( $25 R$ )- and ( $25 S$ )-26-hydroxycholesterol 3,26 -diacetate and provides pure epimers. In the present study, we have established that, even in mixtures containing as little as $3 \%$ of either, both epimers could be easily detected and separated.

When applied to 26-hydroxycholesterol 3,26-diacetate obtained by acetylation of 26 -hydroxycholesterol from human aortic tissue, HPLC proved unambiguously that this material consisted mainly ( $90 \%$ ) of the $25 R$-epimer. Surprisingly, a small amount ( $10 \%$ ) of ( $25 S$ )-26-hydroxycholesterol 3,26-diacetate was detected in this material and isolated.

Similar behaviour was observed when 26-hydroxycholesterol 3,26-diacetate derived from kryptogenin of known ${ }^{4}, 525 R$ configuration was submitted to HPLC. Again, a small amount ( $3 \%$ ) of the $25 S$-epimer was detected in this material (hitherto presumed to be optically pure).

The presence of the $25 S$-epimer in 26 -hydroxycholesterol from either human aorta or kryptogenin has never to our knowledge been reported. It cannot be ascertained whether it was present in the raw material (a $25 S$-epimer of kryptogenin has recently been described ${ }^{7}$ ) or whether it arose from epimerization of ( $25 R$ )-26-hydroxycholesterol during chemical manipulations.

We have also tested 26-hydroxycholesterol 3,26-diacetate derived from 26hydroxycholesterol isolated from air-aged cholesterol ${ }^{6}$. Both 26-hydroperoxy- and 26-hydroxycholesterol have been isolated from this material, but their configuration
at C-25 has not been established because of the lack of adequate methods. Formation of the 26-diol during cholesterol autoxidation has been suggested ${ }^{6}$, via homolysis of the peroxy oxygen-oxygen bond, followed by protonation of the intermediate oxyradical; this should result in equal amounts of the $25 R$ - and $25 S$-epimers of 26 hydroxycholesterol. Our results (approximately equal amounts of the epimers) confirm this hypothesis.

The fact that 26 -hydroxycholesterol from human aortas is mainly the $25 R$ epimer and not a $1: 1$ mixture, strengthens the suggestion ${ }^{2}$ that the origin of this compound is enzymic and not due to cholesterol autoxidation.
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